## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

IN RE: PARAGARD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	: MDL DOCKET NO. 2974 :
This document relates to: OLIVIA LOVE-HATLESTAD	: : 1:20-md-02974-LMM :
vs.	: Civil Action No
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., ET AL.	: : :
<u>SHORT</u>	FORM COMPLAINT
Come(s) now the Plaintiff(s) na	med below, and for her/their Complaint against the
Defendant(s) named below, incorporate(s)	the Second Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint
(Doc No. 79), in MDL No. 2974 by referen	nce. Plaintiff(s) further plead(s) as follows:
1. Name of Plaintiff placed wi	ith Paragard: <u>OLIVIA LOVE-HATLESTAD</u>
2. Name of Plaintiff's Spouse	(if a party to the case): N/A
3. If case is brought in a repre	esentative capacity, Name of Other Plaintiff and capacity
(i.e., administrator, executor	r, guardian, conservator): <u>N/A</u>
4. State of Residence of each 1	Plaintiff (including any Plaintiff in a representative
capacity) at time of filing of	Plaintiff's original complaint: <u>ILLINOIS</u>
5. State of Residence of each 1	Plaintiff at the time of Paragard placement: <u>ILLINOIS</u>
6. State of Residence of each 1	Plaintiff at the time of Paragard removal: <u>ILLINOIS</u>
7. District Court and Division	in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper:
United States District Cour	t for the Northern District of Illinois

- 8. Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) defendants against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following five (5) Defendants are the only Defendants against whom a Short Form Complaint may be filed. No other entity may be added as a Defendant in a Short Form Complaint.):
- ☐ A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
- 図 B. Teva Women's Health, LLC
- ☐ C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc.
- ☑ D. The Cooper Companies, Inc.
- ⊠ E. CooperSurgical, Inc.
- 9. Basis of Jurisdiction
- Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))
- ☐ Other (if Other, identify below)

10.

Date(s) Plaintiff had	Placing Physicians(s) or other	Date Plaintiff's	Removal Physician(s)
Paragard placed	Health Care Provider (include	Paragard was	or other Health Care
(DD/MM/YYYY)	City and State)	Removed	Provided (include City
		(DD/MM/YYYY)*	and State)**
		*If multiple removals	**If multiple
		or attempted removal	removal(s) or
		procedures, list date	attempted removal
		of each separately.	procedures, list
			information separately.
Upon information	Vista Medical Center East	3/23/2021	Dr. Ghanshyam S.
and belief, 1/2016			Thakkar
	Waukegan, IL		Chicago, IL
		4/20/2021	Dr. Braidy Reis
			Chicago, IL
			Dr. Marina Dubovis
			Chicago, IL

11.	Plaintiff alleges breakage (other than thread or string breakage) of her Paragard upon		
	remov	al.	
	Yes No		
12.	Brief s	statement of injury(ies) Plaintiff is claiming:	
	As a	direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered and	
	contin	ues to suffer significant bodily and mental injuries, including but not limited to	
	pain a	nd suffering, mental anguish, disfigurement, embarrassment, inconvenience, loss	
	of earr	nings, loss of reproductive health and past and potential future medical expenses.	
	Plainti	ff reserves her right to allege additional injuries and complications specific to	
13.	Produ	ct Identification:	
	a.	Lot Number of Paragard placed in Plaintiff (if now known): <u>Unknown</u>	
	b.	Did you obtain your Paragard from anyone other than the Healthcare	
		Provider who placed your Paragard:	
		Yes No	
14.	Count	s in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):	
$\boxtimes$	Count I – Strict Liability / Design Defect		
$\boxtimes$	Count II – Strict Liability / Failure to Warn		
$\boxtimes$	Count III – Strict Liability / Manufacturing Defect		
$\boxtimes$	Count IV – Negligence		
$\boxtimes$	Count V – Negligence / Design and Manufacturing Defect		

	Count VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn				
$\boxtimes$	Count VII – Negligent Misrepresentation				
$\boxtimes$	Count VIII – Breach of Express Warranty				
$\boxtimes$	Count IX – Breach of Implied Warranty				
$\boxtimes$	Count X – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws				
$\boxtimes$	Count XI – Gross Negligence				
$\boxtimes$	Count XII – Unjust Enrichment				
$\boxtimes$	Count XIII – Punitive Damages				
	Count XIV – Loss of Consortium				
	Other Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims not included in				
the N	aster Complaint below):				
15.	"Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations:				
	a. Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?				
	<ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>☐ No</li></ul>				
	b. If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond the facts				
	alleged in the Master Complaint, please state the facts and legal basis				
	applicable to the Plaintiff in support of those allegations below:				
	Prior to having the ParaGard IUD implanted, Plaintiff's healthcare providers told he				
	the ParaGard IUD was safe, effective, reliable, and that it could be easily removed				

		id not know there was an issue with the ParaGard IUD. She contacted her r learning she might have a claim.
Сот	unt VII (	(Fraud & Deceit) and County VIII (Fraud by Omission) allegations:
a.	Is P	laintiff bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud & Deceit), Count VIII
	(Fra	and by Omission), and/or any other claim for fraud or misrepresentation?
b.	If Y	Yes, the following information must be provided (in accordance with
	Fed	eral Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9, and/or with pleading requirements
	app	licable to Plaintiff's state law claims):
	i.	The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges was false:
		Paragard, a reversible form of birth control, was safe and effective.
		Paragard was safe and/or safer than other reversible birth control
		products on the market.
	ii.	Who allegedly made the statement: <u>Defendants</u>
	iii.	To whom the statement was allegedly made: Plaintiff and her
		healthcare provider who implanted Paragard.
	iv.	The date(s) on which the statement was made: <u>Defendants' statements</u>
		are within the Paragard label and marketing materials at all relevant
		times prior to implantation.
If I	Plaintiff	is bringing any claim for manufacturing defect and alleging facts beyond

those contained in the Master Complaint, the following information must be provided:

	a. What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her Paragard? N/A
18.	Plaintiff's demand for the relief sought if different than what is alleged in the Master
	Complaint: N/A
19.	Jury Demand:
$\boxtimes$	Jury Trial is demanded as to all counts
	Jury Trial is NOT demanded as to any count
	/s/ Laura V. Yaeger
	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff

Address, phone number, email address and Bar information:

Yaeger Law, PLLC
P.O. Box 530338
Saint Petersburg, FL 33747
727-202-5015
laura@yourlegalcounsel.net
FL Bar# 101972
TX Bar# 24011432